

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/604,784	06/26/2000	Reza Majidi-Ahy	164.1010.01	2773
22883	7590 02/24/2005		EXAMINER	
	SKY LAW GROUP PC	STEVENS, R	STEVENS, ROBERTA A	
P.O. BOX 390013 MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94039-0013			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		2665	
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/604,784	MAJIDI-AHY, REZA		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Roberta A Stevens	2665		
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported by Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address		
THE - External after of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput popular to reply specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 C	October 2004.			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,4-6,9-11,13-15 and 34-51 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) 1,4-6,9-11,13-15 and 38-51 is/are all Claim(s) 34-37 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. owed.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage		
Attachmen	at(s)				
1) X Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa			
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2665

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raissinia (U.S. 6430193 B1) in view of Menon (U.S. 2003/0008632 A1).
- 3. Regarding claim 34, Raissinia teaches (figures 1-5) a method including: determining first values for a plurality of first parameters (column 5, lines 30-35) and at least one second parameter (column 5, lines 2-6) for a communications link, the first parameters being associated with a first layer (Physical layer) of an OSI model communications system and the second parameter being associated with a second layer (MAC layer) of an OSI model communications system; sending first information using the first value (204, 206, 208) for the communication link, and the communication link being either an intracell or and intercell communication link; obtaining second information regarding characteristics of the communication link in response to a result of the steps of sending; and adjusting a plurality of the first values (power collision rate, error rate) in conjunction in response to the second information (column 7, lines 30-62), whereby further use of the communication link is responsive to the steps of adjusting (columns 5-8).
- 4. Raissinia does not teach a wireless connection between a base station controller and customer premise equipment; and a back haul connection between the base station controller and a non-wireless communication system.

Art Unit: 2665

5. Menon teaches (fig. 1) a wireless connection between a base station controller and customer premise equipment; and a back haul connection between the base station controller and a non-wireless communication system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt to Raissinia's system Menon's backhaul process as a more economical means of traffic management.

- 6. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raissinia in view of Yamamoto (U.S. 6252914 B1).
- 7. Regarding claim 35, Raissinia teaches (figures 1-5) a method including: determining first values for a plurality of first parameters (column 5, lines 30-35) and at least one second parameter (column 5, lines 2-6) for a communications link, the first parameters being associated with a first layer (Physical layer) of an OSI model communications system and the second parameter being associated with a second layer (MAC layer) of an OSI model communications system; sending first information using the first value (204, 206, 208) for the communication link, and the communication link being either an intracell or and intercell communication link; obtaining second information regarding characteristics of the communication link in response to a result of the steps of sending; and adjusting a plurality of the first values (power collision rate, error rate) in conjunction in response to the second information (column 7, lines 30-62), whereby further use of the communication link is responsive to the steps of adjusting (columns 5-8).
- 8. Raissinia does not teach sensing fading and multipath effects.
- 9. Yamamoto teaches (col. 2, line 64 col. 3, line 11) sensing fading and multipath effects. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

Art Unit: 2665

to adapt to Raissinia's system, Yamamoto's sensing of fading and multipath effects so the transmission quality is not deteriorated.

- 10. Claims 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raissinia in view of Yamamoto (U.S. 6252914 B1) and further in view of Menon.
- 11. Raissinia and Yamamoto do not teach sensing fading and multipath effects.
- 12. Menon teaches (fig. 1) a wireless connection between a base station controller and customer premise equipment; and a back haul connection between the base station controller and a non-wireless communication system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt to Raissinia and Yamamoto's system Menon's backhaul process as a more economical means of traffic management.

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 1, 4-6, 9-11, 13-15 and 38-51 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2665

Conclusion

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberta A Stevens whose telephone number is 571-272-3161. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am-5:30pm.

- 2. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 3. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roberta A Stevens Examiner Art Unit 2665

> STEVEN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER